WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Amado Diaz-Lopez	Case Number: <u>CR 11-8023-PCT-FJM</u>
present and w		§ 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on February 23, 2011. Defendant was le by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the e.
		FINDINGS OF FACT
, , ,	conderance of the evidence that:	
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the	ne United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
	The defendant, at the time of the	charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendar Enforcement, placing him/her beyond or otherwise removed.	nt faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs and the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported
	The defendant has no significant of	contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculate to assure his/her future appearance.	
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty ap substantial family ties to Mexico.	plicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
	There is a record of prior failure to	appear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade	e law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximu	m of years imprisonment.
The C at the time of t	ourt incorporates by reference the mathematic hearing in this matter, except as	aterial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour noted in the record. <b>CONCLUSIONS OF LAW</b>
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the def No condition or combination of co	
a corrections fappeal. The dof the United S	efendant is committed to the custody acility separate, to the extent practica efendant shall be afforded a reasona States or on request of an attorney fo he United States Marshal for the pur	of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in the lible, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending ble opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the pose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.  ALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT IS ( deliver a copy Court.	DRDERED that should an appeal of t	this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to tion to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
IT IS F Services suffices	FURTHER ORDERED that if a releas ciently in advance of the hearing bel potential third party custodian.	te to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria fore the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DAT	ED this 24 <sup>th</sup> day of February	<sup>7</sup> , 2011.
	•	Sin

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge